

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 DUSTIN GORMLEY, *et al.*,

Consolidated Case No. C 11-893 SI

9 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS UNDER  
SEAL**

10 v.

11 NIKE INC., *et al.*,

12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 On July 6, 2012, plaintiffs filed an administrative motion to file documents under seal, pending  
15 a showing by Nike of good cause for sealing. Pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 79-5(d),  
16 Nike was required to submit a declaration establishing that the designated information is sealable within  
17 seven days of the filing of plaintiffs' administrative motion. Nike did not file any such declaration, and  
18 accordingly the proposed filing will be made part of the public record.

19 This order resolves Docket No. 84.  
20

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
22

23 Dated: July 19, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge